

UN
United Nations / International Maritime Organization



**Conference of Plenipotentiaries
on a Convention on
Maritime Liens and Mortgages**

Distr.
GENERAL

A/CONF.162/2
22 December 1992

Original: ENGLISH



Geneva, 19 April 1993
Item 3 of the provisional agenda

ADOPTION OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE

Provisional rules of procedure of the Conference

Prepared by the Secretariats of UNCTAD and IMO

PROVISIONAL RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE CONFERENCE

CONTENTS

<u>Rule</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. REPRESENTATION AND CREDENTIALS	
1. Composition of delegations	1
2. Alternates and advisers	1
3. Submission of credentials	1
4. Credentials Committee	2
5. Provisional participation in the Conference	2
II. OFFICERS	
6. Elections	2
7. General powers of the President	3
8. Acting President	3
9. Replacement of the President	4
10. Voting rights of the President	4
III. GENERAL COMMITTEE	
11. Composition	4
12. Substitute members	5
13. Functions	5
IV. SECRETARIAT OF THE CONFERENCE	
14. Secretariat	6
15. Duties of the secretariat	6
16. Statements by the secretariat	7
V. OPENING OF THE CONFERENCE	
17. Temporary President	7
18. Decisions concerning organization	8

<u>Rule</u>	<u>Page</u>
VI. CONDUCT OF BUSINESS	
19. Quorum	8
20. Speeches	9
21. Points of order	10
22. Precedence	10
23. Closing of the list of speakers	10
24. Right of reply	11
25. Adjournment of debate	11
26. Closure of debate	12
27. Suspension or adjournment of the meeting	12
28. Order of motions	12
29. Basic proposals	13
30. Submission of proposals and substantive amendments	13
31. Withdrawal of proposals and motions	14
32. Decisions on competence	14
33. Reconsideration of proposals	14
VII. DECISION-MAKING	
34. General agreement	15
35. Voting rights	15
36. Majority required	15
37. Method of voting	16
38. Conduct during voting	17
39. Explanation of vote or position	17
40. Division of proposals	18
41. Amendments	18
42. Order of voting on amendments	18
43. Order of voting on proposals	19
44-45. Elections	19

<u>Rule</u>	<u>Page</u>
VIII. SUBSIDIARY BODIES	
46. Main Committees	20
47. Representation on the Main Committees	20
48. Other committees and working groups	21
49. Officers	21
50. Quorum	21
51. Officers, conduct of business and voting	22
IX. LANGUAGES AND RECORDS	
52. Languages of the Conference	22
53. Interpretation	23
54. Languages of official documents	23
55. Records and reports	23
56. Sound recordings of meetings	24
X. PUBLIC AND PRIVATE MEETINGS	
57-58. General principles	24
59. Communiqués on private meetings	25
XI. OTHER PARTICIPANTS AND OBSERVERS	
60. States	25
61. Associate members of the International Maritime Organization	25
62. Representatives of organizations that have received a stand- ing invitation from the General Assembly to participate in the capacity of observers in the sessions and work of all international conferences convened under its auspices	26
63. Representatives of national liberation movements	26
64. Representatives of the specialized and related agencies	27
65. Representatives of other intergovernmental organizations ...	27
66. Representatives of interested United Nations organs	27
67. Representatives of non-governmental organizations	28
68. Written statements	28

Rule

Page

XII. SUSPENSION AND AMENDMENT OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE

69. Method of suspension	28
70. Method of amendment	29

I. REPRESENTATION AND CREDENTIALS

Composition of delegations

Rule 1

The delegation of each State participating in the Conference shall consist of a head of delegation and such other representatives, alternate representatives and advisers as may be required.

Alternates and advisers

Rule 2

The head of delegation may designate an alternate representative or an adviser to act as a representative.

Submission of credentials

Rule 3

The credentials of representatives and the names of alternate representatives and advisers shall be submitted to the Secretary-General, if possible not less than one week before the date fixed for the opening of the Conference. The credentials shall be issued either by the Head of the State or Government or by the Minister for Foreign Affairs.

Credentials Committee

Rule 4

A Credentials Committee of nine members shall be appointed at the beginning of the Conference. Its composition shall be based on that of the Credentials Committee of the General Assembly of the United Nations at its most recent session. It shall examine the credentials of representatives and report to the Conference without delay.

Provisional participation in the Conference

Rule 5

Pending a decision of the Conference upon their credentials, representatives shall be entitled to participate provisionally in the Conference.

II. OFFICERS

Elections

Rule 6

The Conference shall elect from among the representatives of participating States the following officers: a President, (seven) Vice-Presidents and a Rapporteur-General, as well as a Chairman for each of the Main Committees established in accordance with rule 46. These officers shall be elected in such a way as to ensure equitable geographical distribution in the General Committee.

General powers of the President

Rule 7

1. The President, in the exercise of his functions, remains under the authority of the Conference.
2. In addition to exercising the powers conferred upon him elsewhere by these rules, the President shall preside at the plenary meetings of the Conference, declare the opening and closing of each meeting, put questions to the vote and announce decisions. The President shall rule on points of order and, subject to these rules, such decisions as the Conference may make from time to time, and shall have control of the proceedings and over the maintenance of order thereat. The President may propose to the Conference the closure of the list of speakers, a limitation on the time to be allowed to speakers and on the number of times each representative may speak on a question, the adjournment or closure of the debate and the suspension or the adjournment of a meeting.

Acting President

Rule 8

1. If the President is absent from a meeting or any part thereof, he shall designate one of the Vice-Presidents to take his place.
2. A Vice-President acting as President shall have the same powers and duties as the President.

Replacement of the President

Rule 9

If the President is unable to perform his functions, a new President shall be elected.

Voting rights of the President

Rule 10

The President, or a Vice-President acting as President, shall not vote in the Conference, but may appoint another member of his delegation to vote in his place.

III. GENERAL COMMITTEE

Composition

Rule 11

1. The President, the Vice-Presidents, the Rapporteur-General and the Chairmen of the Main Committees shall constitute the General Committee. The President, or in his absence one of the Vice-Presidents designated by him, shall serve as Chairman of the General Committee.

2. The Chairman of the Credentials Committee and other committees established by the Conference in accordance with rule 48 may participate, without the right to vote, in the General Committee.

Substitute members

Rule 12

If the President or a Vice-President of the Conference is to be absent during a meeting of the General Committee, he may designate a member of his delegation to sit and vote in the Committee. In the case of absence, the Chairman of a Main Committee shall designate the Vice-Chairman of that Committee as his substitute. When serving on the General Committee, the Vice-Chairman of a Main Committee shall not have the right to vote if he/she is, exceptionally and without prejudice to the principle of equitable geographical distribution, of the same delegation as another member of the General Committee.

Functions

Rule 13

The General Committee shall assist the President in the general conduct of the business of the Conference and, subject to the decisions of the Conference, shall ensure the co-ordination of its work.

IV. SECRETARIAT OF THE CONFERENCE

Secretariat

Rule 14

1. The Secretaries-General, the Executive Secretary of the Conference, the Deputy Executive Secretary of the Conference and the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of the Conference shall act in their respective capacity in all meetings of the Conference and its subsidiary organs.

2. The staff required by the Conference and its Committees shall be provided by the United Nations and the International Maritime Organization. The Secretaries-General and the Executive Secretary shall direct the staff required by the Conference.

Duties of the secretariat

Rule 15

The secretariat of the Conference shall, in accordance with these rules:

- (a) Interpret speeches made at meetings;
- (b) Receive, translate, reproduce and circulate the documents of the Conference;
- (c) Publish and circulate the official documents of the Conference;
- (d) Prepare and circulate records of public meetings;
- (e) Make and arrange for the keeping of sound recordings;
- (f) Arrange for the custody and preservation of the documents of the Conference in the archives of the United Nations; and
- (g) Generally perform all other work that the Conference may require.

Statements by the secretariat

Rule 16

The Secretaries-General, the Executive Secretary, or any member of their secretariat designated for that purpose, may, at any time, make either oral or written statements concerning any question under consideration.

V. OPENING OF THE CONFERENCE

Temporary President

Rule 17

The Secretary-General of the United Nations or any official designated by him shall open the first meeting of the Conference and preside until the Conference has elected its President.

Decisions concerning organization

Rule 18

The Conference shall, to the extent possible, at its first meeting:

- (a) Adopt its rules of procedure;
- (b) Elect its officers and constitute its subsidiary organs;
- (c) Adopt its agenda, the draft of which shall until such adoption be the provisional agenda of the Conference;
- (d) Decide on the organization of its work.

VI. CONDUCT OF BUSINESS

Quorum

Rule 19

The President may declare a meeting open and permit the debate to proceed when the representatives of at least one third of the States participating in the Conference are present. The presence of representatives of a majority of the States so participating shall be required for any decision to be taken.

Speeches

Rule 20

1. No-one may address the Conference without having previously obtained the permission of the President. Subject to rules 21, 22 and 25 to 27, the President shall call upon speakers in the order in which they indicate their desire to speak. The secretariat shall be in charge of drawing up a list of speakers.
2. Debate shall be confined to the question before the Conference and the President may call a speaker to order if his remarks are not relevant to the subject under discussion.
3. The Conference may limit the time allowed to each speaker and the number of times each participant may speak on any question. Permission to speak on a motion to set such limits shall be accorded only to two representatives in favour of and to two opposing such limits, after which the motion shall be immediately put to the vote. In any event, with the consent of the Conference, the President shall limit each intervention on procedural matters to five minutes. When the debate is limited and a speaker exceeds the allotted time, the President shall call him to order without delay.

Points of order

Rule 21

During the discussion of any matter, a representative may at any time raise a point of order, which shall be immediately decided by the President in accordance with these rules. A representative may appeal against the ruling of the President. The appeal shall be immediately put to the vote, and the President's ruling shall stand unless overruled by a majority of the representatives present and voting. A representative may not, in raising a point of order, speak on the substance of the matter under discussion.

Precedence

Rule 22

The Chairman or Rapporteur of a Main Committee, or the representative of a subcommittee or working group, may be accorded precedence for the purpose of explaining the conclusions arrived at by the body concerned.

Closing of the list of speakers

Rule 23

During the course of a debate, the President may read out the list of speakers and, with the consent of the Conference, declare the list closed.

Right of reply

Rule 24

1. Notwithstanding rule 23, the President shall accord the right of reply to a representative of any State participating in the Conference who requests it. Any other representative may be granted the opportunity to make a reply.
2. The statements made under this rule shall normally be made at the end of the last meeting of the day, or at the conclusion of the consideration of the relevant item if that is sooner.
3. The representatives of a State may make no more than two statements under this rule at a given meeting on any item. The first shall be limited to five minutes and the second to three minutes; representatives shall in any event attempt to be as brief as possible.

Adjournment of debate

Rule 25

A representative may at any time move the adjournment of the debate on the item under discussion. Permission to speak on the motion shall be accorded only to two representatives in favour of and to two opposing the adjournment, after which the motion shall, subject to rule 28, be put to the vote immediately.

Closure of debate

Rule 26

A representative may at any time move the closure of the debate on the question under discussion, whether or not any other representative has signified his wish to speak. Permission to speak on the motion shall be accorded only to two representatives opposing the closure, after which the motion shall, subject to rule 28, be immediately put to the vote.

Suspension or adjournment of the meeting

Rule 27

Subject to rule 38, a representative may at any time move the suspension or the adjournment of the meeting. No discussion on such motions shall be permitted and they shall, subject to rule 28, be immediately put to the vote.

Order of motions

Rule 28

The motions indicated below shall have precedence in the following order over all proposals or other motions before the meeting:

- (a) To suspend the meeting;
- (b) To adjourn the meeting;
- (c) To adjourn the debate on the question under discussion;
- (d) To close the debate on the question under discussion.

Basic proposals

Rule 29

The draft articles for a Convention on maritime liens and mortgages, including provisions on final clauses, contained in document JIGE(VI)/8 (A/CONF.162/3), shall constitute the basic proposal before the Conference.

Submission of proposals and substantive amendments

Rule 30

Proposals and substantive amendments shall normally be submitted in writing to the Conference secretariat, which shall circulate copies to all delegations. Unless the Conference decides otherwise, substantive proposals shall be discussed or put to a vote no earlier than twenty-four hours after copies have been circulated in all languages of the Conference to all delegations. The President may, however, permit the discussion and consideration of amendments even though these amendments have not been circulated or have only been circulated the same day.

Withdrawal of proposals and motions

Rule 31

A proposal or a motion may be withdrawn by its sponsor at any time before a decision on it has been taken, provided that it has not been amended. A proposal or a motion thus withdrawn may be reintroduced by any representative.

Decisions on competence

Rule 32

Subject to rule 28, any motion calling for a decision on the competence of the Conference to adopt a proposal submitted to it shall be put to the vote before a decision is taken on the proposal in question.

Reconsideration of proposals

Rule 33

When a proposal has been adopted or rejected, it may not be reconsidered unless the Conference, by a two-thirds majority of the representatives present and voting, so decides. Permission to speak on a motion to reconsider shall be accorded only to two speakers opposing reconsideration, after which the motion shall be immediately put to the vote.

VII. DECISION-MAKING

General agreement

Rule 34

The Conference shall make its best endeavours to ensure that the work of the Conference is accomplished by general agreement.

Voting rights

Rule 35

Each State participating in the Conference shall have one vote.

Majority required

Rule 36

1. Subject to rule 34, decisions of the Plenary of the Conference on all matters of substance shall be taken by a two-thirds majority of the representatives present and voting.
2. Except as otherwise provided in these rules, decisions of the Plenary of the Conference on all matters of procedure shall be taken by a majority of the representatives present and voting.
3. If the question arises whether a matter is one of procedure or of substance, it shall be decided by the Conference by a majority of the representatives present and voting.
4. If a vote is equally divided on a decision requiring a majority of the representatives present and voting, the proposal or motion shall be regarded as rejected.
5. For the purpose of these rules, the phrase "representatives present and voting" means representatives casting an affirmative or negative vote. Representatives who abstain from voting shall be regarded as not voting.

Method of voting

Rule 37

1. Except as provided in rule 44, the Conference shall normally vote by show of hands, except that a representative may request a roll-call, which shall then be taken in the French alphabetical order of the names of the States participating in the Conference, beginning with the delegation whose name is drawn by lot by the President. The name of each State shall be called in all roll-calls, and its representatives shall reply "yes", "no" or "abstention".
2. The vote of each State participating in a roll-call shall be inserted in the report of the Conference.

Conduct during voting

Rule 38

After the President has announced the commencement of the voting, no representative shall interrupt the voting until the result of the vote has been announced, except on a point of order in connection with the process of voting.

Explanation of vote or position

Rule 39

1. Representatives may make brief statements consisting solely of explanations of vote, before the voting has commenced or after the voting has been completed. The President may limit the time to be allowed for such explanations. The representative of a State sponsoring a proposal or motion shall not speak in explanation of vote thereon, except if it has been amended.
2. When the same matter is considered successively in several bodies of the Conference, the representatives of States should, as far as possible, explain their votes in one such body, unless these votes differ.
3. Similarly, explanatory statements of position may be made in connection with a decision taken without a vote.

Division of proposals

Rule 40

A representative may move that parts of a proposal be voted on separately. If a representative objects, the motion for division shall be voted upon. Permission to speak on the motion shall be accorded only to two representatives in favour of and to two opposing the division. If the motion is carried, those parts of the proposal that are subsequently approved shall be put to the Conference for decision as a whole. If all operative parts of the proposal have been rejected, the proposal shall be considered to have been rejected as a whole.

Amendments

Rule 41

A proposal is considered an amendment to another proposal if it merely adds to, deletes from or revises part of that proposal. Unless specified otherwise, the word "proposal" in these rules shall be considered as including amendments.

Order of voting on amendments

Rule 42

When an amendment is moved to a proposal, the amendment shall be voted on first. When two or more amendments are moved to a proposal, the Conference shall vote first on the amendment furthest removed in substance from the original proposal and then on the amendment next furthest removed therefrom, and so on, until all the amendments have been put to the vote. Where, however, the adoption of one amendment necessarily implies the rejection of another amendment, the latter shall not be put to the vote. If one or more amendments are adopted, the amended proposal shall then be voted upon.

Order of voting on proposals

Rule 43

1. If two or more proposals, other than amendments, relate to the same question, they shall, unless the Conference decides otherwise, be voted on in the order in which they were submitted. The Conference may, after each vote on a proposal, decide whether to vote on the next proposal.

2. Revised proposals shall be voted on in the order in which the original proposals were submitted, unless the revision substantially departs from the original proposal. In that case the original proposal shall be considered as withdrawn and the revised proposal shall be treated as a new proposal.

3. A motion requiring that no decision be taken on a proposal shall be put to the vote before a decision is taken on that proposal.

Elections

Rule 44

All elections shall be held by secret ballot unless, in the absence of any objection, the Conference decides to proceed without taking a ballot when there is an agreed candidate or slate of candidates.

Rule 45

1. When one or more elective places are to be filled at one time under the same conditions, those candidates, in a number not exceeding the number of such places, obtaining in the first ballot a majority of the votes cast and the largest number of votes, shall be elected.

2. If the number of candidates obtaining such majority is less than the number of places to be filled, additional ballots shall be held to fill the remaining places.

VIII. SUBSIDIARY BODIES

Main Committees

Rule 46

The Conference may establish Main Committees as required which may set up subcommittees or working groups.

Representation on the Main Committees

Rule 47

Each State participating in the Conference may be represented by one representative on each Main Committee established by the Conference. It may assign to these Committees such alternate representatives and advisers as may be required.

Other committees and working groups

Rule 48

1. In addition to the committees referred to above, the Conference may establish such committees and working groups as it deems necessary for the performance of its functions.

2. Each committee may set up subcommittees and working groups.

Officers

Rule 49

Except as otherwise provided in rule 6, each committee, subcommittee and working group shall elect its own officers.

Quorum

Rule 50

1. The Chairman of a Main Committee may declare a meeting open and permit the debate to proceed when representatives of at least one quarter of the States participating in the Conference are present. The presence of representatives of a majority of the States so participating shall be required for any decision to be taken.

2. A majority of the representatives of the General or Credentials Committee or of any committee, subcommittee or working group shall constitute a quorum.

Officers, conduct of business and voting

Rule 51

The rules contained in chapters II, VI (except rule 19) and VII above shall be applicable, mutatis mutandis, to the proceedings of committees, subcommittees and working groups, except that:

(a) The Chairmen of the Credentials Committee, the Main Committees, other committees, subcommittees and working groups may exercise the right to vote; and

(b) Decisions of the Main Committees, other committees, subcommittees and working groups shall be taken by a majority of the representatives present and voting, except that the reconsideration of a proposal or an amendment shall require the majority established by rule 33.

IX. LANGUAGES AND RECORDS

Languages of the Conference

Rule 52

Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish shall be the languages of the Conference.

Interpretation

Rule 53

1. Speeches made in a language of the Conference shall be interpreted into the other languages of the Conference.

2. A representative may speak in a language other than a language of the Conference if the delegation concerned provides for interpretation into one of the languages of the Conference. Interpretation into the other languages of the Conference by the interpreters of the secretariat may be based on the interpretation in the first such language.

Languages of official documents

Rule 54

Official documents of the Conference shall be circulated in the languages of the Conference.

Records and reports

Rule 55

1. There shall be neither verbatim nor summary records of meetings.
2. The Conference may adopt a report on its proceedings.

Sound recordings of meetings

Rule 56

Sound recordings of meetings of the Conference and of any Main Committee and of the General Committee shall be made and kept in accordance with the practice of the United Nations. No such recordings shall be made of the meetings of other committees or working groups unless otherwise decided by the Conference or the Main Committee concerned.

X. PUBLIC AND PRIVATE MEETINGS

General principles

Rule 57

The plenary meetings of the Conference shall be held in public unless the Conference decides otherwise. All decisions taken by the Plenary of the Conference at a private meeting shall be announced at a public meeting of the Plenary early thereafter.

Rule 58

The meetings of the subsidiary bodies of the Conference shall be held in private, unless the Conference or the subsidiary body concerned decides otherwise.

Communiqués on private meetings

Rule 59

At the close of a private meeting, the presiding officer of the organ concerned may issue a communiqué through the secretariat of the Conference.

XI. OTHER PARTICIPANTS AND OBSERVERS

States

Rule 60

States may choose to participate in the Conference as observers. Delegations of States participating as observers shall not have the right to vote.

Associate members of the International Maritime Organization

Rule 61

Representatives of the Associate Members of the International Maritime Organization may participate, without the right to vote, in the deliberations of the Conference, its committees and other subsidiary bodies.

Representatives of organizations that have received a standing invitation from the General Assembly to participate in the capacity of observers in the sessions and work of all international conferences convened under its auspices

Rule 62

Representatives designated by organizations that have received a standing invitation from the General Assembly to participate in the sessions and work of all international conferences convened under its auspices have the right to participate as observers, without the right to vote, in the deliberations of the Conference, and, as appropriate, any committee or working group.

Representatives of national liberation movements

Rule 63

Representatives designated by national liberation movements invited to the Conference may participate as observers, without the right to vote, in the deliberations of the Conference, and, as appropriate, any committee or working group on any matter of particular concern to those movements and related to the work of the Conference.

Representatives of the specialized and related agencies

Rule 64

Representatives designated by the specialized and related agencies may participate as observers, without the right to vote, in the deliberations of the Conference, and, as appropriate, any committee or working group on questions within the scope of their activities.

Representatives of other intergovernmental organizations

Rule 65

Representatives designated by other intergovernmental organizations invited to the Conference may participate as observers, without the right to vote, in the deliberations of the Conference, and, as appropriate, any committee or working group on questions within the scope of their activities.

Representatives of interested United Nations organs

Rule 66

Representatives designated by interested organs of the United Nations may participate as observers, without the right to vote, in the deliberations of the Conference, and, as appropriate, any committee or working group on questions within the scope of their activities.

Representatives of non-governmental organizations

Rule 67

Non-governmental organizations invited to the Conference may designate representatives to participate as observers, without the right to vote, in the Conference, and, as appropriate, any of the committees or working groups, on questions within the scope of their activities.

Written statements

Rule 68

Written statements related to the work of the Conference, submitted by the designated representatives referred to in rules 62 to 67 shall be distributed by the secretariat to all delegations in the quantities and in the language in which the statements are made available to it, provided that a statement submitted on behalf of a non-governmental organization is related to the work of the Conference and is on a subject in which the organization has a special competence.

XII. SUSPENSION AND AMENDMENT OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE

Method of suspension

Rule 69

Any of these rules may be suspended by the Conference provided that twenty-four hours' notice of the proposal for the suspension has been given, which may be waived if no representative objects; subsidiary bodies may by unanimous consent waive rules pertaining to them. Any such suspension shall be limited to a specific and stated purpose and to a period required to achieve that purpose.

Method of amendment

Rule 70

These rules of procedure may be amended by a decision of the Conference taken by a two-thirds majority of the representatives present and voting, after the General Committee has reported on the proposed amendment.

United Nations / International Maritime Organization

UN



Conference of Plenipotentiaries on a Convention on Maritime Liens and Mortgages



Distr.
GENERAL

A/CONF.162/3
11 November 1992

ENGLISH
Original: ARABIC/ENGLISH
FRENCH/SPANISH

Geneva, 19 April 1993
Item 8 of the provisional agenda

PREPARATION AND ADOPTION OF A CONVENTION ON MARITIME LIENS AND MORTGAGES

Compilation of comments and proposals by Governments, and by
intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations on the
draft convention on maritime liens and mortgages

CONTENTS

	<u>Paragraphs</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
COMPILATION OF COMMENTS AND PROPOSALS	2 - 83
<u>Governments</u>	
FRANCE	2 - 14
GERMANY	15 - 17
IRAN (Islamic Republic of)	18 - 21
JAPAN	22 - 23
OMAN	24
SINGAPORE	25 - 27
SPAIN	28 - 34
SWITZERLAND	35 - 45
THAILAND	46 - 50

CONTENTS (continued)

Paragraphs

Intergovernmental organizations

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS (FAO)	51 - 53
--	---------

Non-governmental international organizations

INTERNATIONAL CONFEDERATION OF FREE TRADE UNIONS . . .	54 - 62
INTERNATIONAL SHIP SUPPLIERS ASSOCIATION	63 - 83

INTRODUCTION

1. This document sets out the comments and proposals of Governments and intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations on the Draft Convention on Maritime Liens and Mortgages that were received as of Monday, 19 October 1992. Up to that date comments had been received from the following:

Governments: France, Germany, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Japan, Oman, Singapore, Spain, Switzerland, Thailand;

Intergovernmental organizations: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO);

Non-governmental organizations: International Confederation of Free Trade Unions, International Ship Suppliers Association.

COMPILATION OF COMMENTS AND PROPOSALS

States

FRANCE

[Original: FRENCH]

2. The Office of the Secretary of State for Maritime Affairs believes there is a need to review the Brussels Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules of Law Relating to Maritime Liens and Mortgages, of 10 April 1926, whose provisions are incorporated in Act No. 67-3 of 3 January 1967 on the status of vessels and other seagoing ships, and it is therefore in favour of the adoption of the new draft convention.

3. An examination of the text of the draft articles has to be undertaken with a view to formulating any observations that might form the subject of reservations at the diplomatic conference.

Observations which might form the subject of reservations at the diplomatic conference:

4. Comments on the substance of the draft articles. Comments are called for only regarding the following articles, but especially on article 6 bis, dealing with rights of retention, in respect of which a reservation might be entered and which, it is felt, should be eliminated.

Article 6 bis: rights of retention

5. The French delegation has always strongly favoured exclusion of the right of retention from any convention dealing with liens and mortgages. Rights of retention are substantively different and cannot be outranked by the claim of any holder of a lien. Neither the holder of a lien or a mortgage can prevent the exercise of a right of retention.

6. Consequently, the new instrument, like the 1926 Convention, must not aim to prevent the exercise of a right of retention and should not deal with this right. A country will thus be able to avail itself of a right of retention if such a right is recognized by its legislation.

7. Article 6 bis calls for a reservation to the effect that it falls outside the scope of the Convention. This article is liable to render the mortgage system fragile and may prevent achievement of a broad consensus.

Article 15: temporary change of flag

8. The present wording of the draft article is satisfactory but the idea that the provisions of article 15 are provisions of public law must be opposed.

9. The new convention should not lead to the establishment of an international regime providing for changes of flag: the article is concerned only with the mortgage system, where there is a temporary change of flag.

10. Comments on the form of the draft articles. In articles 6 and 7, in order to distinguish national or second-rank liens from the international maritime liens established by the Convention, many States have sought to avoid using the term maritime lien in referring to them, and have preferred to use instead the term lien.

Article 6: other liens

11. The words within the square brackets "maritime liens or" could be deleted and only the words "other liens" retained.

Article 7: characteristics of maritime liens

12. For greater clarity the words within the square brackets should be deleted, since the reference is only to the first priority liens enumerated in article 4.

Article 10: notice of forced sale

13. The contents of paragraph 4, which provides for the possibility of waiving notice, should be deleted. Having regard to the problems of proof which this question raises, it is preferable to provide for an additional notice.

14. The bracketed subparagraph (b) of paragraph 2 also seems unnecessary. The draft articles do not call for any other comment.

GERMANY

[Original: ENGLISH]

15. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany welcomes the draft convention on maritime liens and mortgages elaborated by the Joint Intergovernmental Group of Experts. It considers that draft to be an important step along the path towards international harmonization of the law on maritime liens and mortgages.

16. The German Federal Government supports the draft convention's objective of restricting the catalogue of maritime liens and engendering a clarification of the legal situation associated with a temporary change of flag.

17. The draft still contains a number of unresolved questions of detail. These will require discussion at the Diplomatic Conference. The Government of the Federal Republic of Germany reserves the right to make proposals on those questions at the appropriate time.

IRAN (ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF)

[Original: ENGLISH]

Article 4

18. Considering that paragraph 2 of article 11 of the draft convention provides that the costs of repatriation of the crew be considered as costs to be paid immediately after the forced sale and before the distribution of the proceeds of the sale between the holders of maritime liens, therefore the insertion of the cost of repatriation in paragraph 1 of article 4 as a maritime lien seems to be redundant. With regard to the above explanation, if there is no difference between "repatriation" inserted in paragraph 2 of article 11 and the wording "costs of repatriation" as provided in paragraph (a) of article 4, we propose that the wording "costs of repatriation" be deleted from paragraph (a) of article 4.

19. In our view, the wording "physical loss or damage" provided in paragraph (e) of article 4 seems to be rather ambiguous. Taking into consideration that in the 1967 Convention the wording reads " ... in respect of loss of or damage to property", and considering also that the present draft contains an independent paragraph, namely paragraph (b), which covers any claim arising out of loss of life or personal injury, it seems therefore that the applicability of "physical loss or damage" set out in paragraph (e) of article 4 covers mainly the property, not the loss or damage suffered by persons. In order to remove this ambiguity, we would like to propose that the matter be further discussed and clarified as to whether the wording applies to property only or to other things (including human).

Article 6 bis

20. Considering the last line of paragraph (1) of article 5, which reads: " ... except as provided in article 6 bis" it seems that the content of article 6 bis (right of retention) covers the claims which take priority over

maritime liens set out in article 4 and registered mortgages, "hypothèques" and charges. It seems also that paragraph 2 of article 6 bis indicates how this priority should be applied to maritime liens and mortgages as provided in article 4.

21. With regard to the previous discussions and different interpretations in different national legal systems regarding the above subject, we believe that the first sentence of paragraph 2 of article 6 bis seems not to be clearly expressed. Regarding the first sentence of paragraph 2 (6 bis), apparently the purport of the right of retention which cannot prejudice the enforcement of maritime liens is that the holder of the right of retention cannot prevent the forced sale with the excuse of retention until his claim is settled. However, in respect to the registered mortgages such rights are reserved for him. Since paragraph 2 of article 6 bis could be interpreted in different forms, we believe it is necessary that the intended interpretation of the above wording be clarified in the draft.

JAPAN

[Original: ENGLISH]

22. National laws on the effects of maritime liens, mortgages and other charges, as well as the enforcement procedures thereof, are closely linked with the public interests of each State and are in particular of a territorial nature. Therefore, international provisions on these matters should be as flexible as possible bearing in mind the existing diversity of legal systems of States.

23. Neither the Japanese authorities in charge of these matters nor the Japanese maritime industry have observed any particular problem in the current legal system governing these matters.

OMAN

[Original: ARABIC]

24. The Permanent Mission of Oman has the honour to inform the secretariat of UNCTAD (Shipping Division) that it has observed that the Arabic version of article 10 of the draft, concerning notice of forced sale, differs from the original English text by omitting the adjective "musajjala" (registered) after the word "a'ba" (charges) in paragraph (c). We are making this observation because, under the general rules, a mortgage that has been duly concluded affects the contracting parties but not third parties and the holder of a mortgage has the right to apply and follow up his application only if his name has been entered in the register.

SINGAPORE

[Original: ENGLISH]

Article 3 (1): Voluntary change of ownership or registration

25. Paragraph 1 of article 3 is not clear as to whether the Registrar of Ships can require one option rather than the other or must he be bound to accept either a discharge or a written consent; whichever is submitted to him? We suggest that this provision be clarified.

Article 3 (2)

26. Paragraph 2 of article 3 may hinder the flow of commerce if the owner wishes to buy and transfer a ship at short notice. Moreover, the former State for some reason or other may be slow in issuing the certificate of deregistration. We understand that it is the practice of several Registries to allow provisional registration subject to the subsequent production of the deregistration certificate. We suggest the insertion of the following paragraph:

"Article 3 (3)

(3) Notwithstanding paragraph 2, a State Party may register a vessel provisionally subject to the condition that the certificate referred to in paragraph 2 be issued within such time as the State Party may specify."

Article 11 (3)

27. We do not agree that a requirement be imposed on the Registrar of Ships to delete all registered mortgages, "hypothèques" or charges except those assumed by the purchaser. It should be sufficient that the certificate issued by the competent authority certifies that the vessel is sold free of encumbrances.

SPAIN

[Original: SPANISH]

28. Draft article 12, paragraph 1, provides that "Unless otherwise provided in this Convention, its provisions shall apply to all seagoing vessels registered in a State Party or in a State which is not a State party". Having regard to this provision and the general interest in avoiding dual registration, the question arises whether it would not be desirable to alter article 3, paragraph 2, so that it would not refer exclusively to the case of vessels which are registered in one State Party and for which registration in another State Party is sought. With the present wording, the article would allow, at least in theory, a vessel registered in a State which is not a Party to be entered in the registry of a State Party.

29. In article 4, paragraph 1 (a), it would be desirable to refer expressly to claims for severance pay, in order to avoid discussion as to whether or not such costs are included among the privileged claims.
30. Article 6 bis of the draft articles should be retained, as should the new paragraph 3 of article 11 as proposed by the Chairman of the Group of Experts.
31. In article 10, paragraph 2, subparagraph (b) should be retained and the paragraph should no longer provide for alternatives. The purpose of this amendment would be to avoid as far as possible the so-called "forum shopping" by providing suitable information for third parties concerned.
32. The most substantial and difficult amendment to the draft articles that we have to propose concerns article 11, paragraph 3. As it is worded at present, this provision makes automatically enforceable the decision of a court of a State Party concerning the forced sale of a vessel registered in another State party, without any need for enforcement proceedings. While it is obvious that merchant traffic would be expedited by means of such a provision, it would also facilitate the commission of acts in circumvention of the law such as the above-mentioned "forum shopping" (e.g. the not necessarily rare cases in which the owner of the vessel and the holder of one of the mortgages reach an agreement for the forced sale of the vessel to take place in a country other than that in which it should in principle be held, with the aim of rendering more difficult the application of certain rules of the latter country, such as those concerning determination of amounts due to the crew of the vessel).
33. In our opinion, consideration should be given to the need for enforcement proceedings to ensure that the registering authority of the State of registry is obliged to delete all registered mortgages, "hypothèques" or charges.
34. In the Spanish version of article B, paragraph 2, of the Draft Final Clauses, the words "ese consentimiento tendrá vigor ..." should be replaced by "ese consentimiento producirá efectos ...".

SWITZERLAND

[Original: FRENCH]

35. Although it has no coastline, Switzerland maintains under its flag a merchant fleet of over 600,000 dead weight tons and, as a financial centre, it is also interested in the international legal safeguards granted to the holders of maritime mortgages. It therefore endorses the principal aim of adoption of a new convention, namely to favour maritime credit either through international recognition of maritime mortgages or by reducing the number of maritime liens having priority over registered mortgages.
36. Switzerland has ratified the 1926 Convention and has incorporated its rules into Swiss law. The Convention has proved largely satisfactory. The move towards a new convention is justified only if the new instrument brings genuine improvements in the protection of mortgage claims. It is from this standpoint that the comments of Switzerland are submitted for consideration by the Diplomatic Conference to be held from 19 April to 7 May 1993.

37. The list in draft article 4 of the privileged claims taking priority over mortgages is still very extensive and very large sums may be involved under paragraph 1, subparagraphs (b) and (e). The restriction in paragraph 2 for claims arising out of oil pollution damage for which compensation is payable pursuant to the 1969 Convention (CLC), is by no means satisfactory. Claims arising from pollution damage must be excluded as a whole, and without distinction according to whether they are governed by the above-mentioned Convention (which is not the case in regard to States which have not ratified it) or by national legislation, and without any distinction according to whether the pollution is caused by oil or by other dangerous goods. Bearing in mind, for example, the new United States Oil Pollution Act, 1990, the magnitude of such unlimited claims is unforeseeable and uninsurable. If claims arising from oil pollution damage are secured by an unrestricted maritime lien, the mortgage claim will be seriously affected and it will not be possible to achieve one of the main purposes of the new convention.

38. For these reasons Switzerland will support greater restriction of maritime liens taking priority over mortgages.

39. The problem of the rights of retention of the shipbuilder and the ship repairer have not been dealt with satisfactorily in the draft convention. There are some States which have a mandatory right of retention while there are others which regard this right as a right in rem during the execution phase. If the shipbuilder or ship repairer has only a lien of lower priority than the maritime liens provided for in article 4, his right becomes illusory, especially if the vessel is a total loss and no shipyard is prepared to repair it. The existence, and particularly the amount, of any priority maritime liens are not always known when the vessel is delivered to the shipyard. Determining with accuracy the claims which will rank before those of the shipyard often involves lengthy procedures. We fear that international unification of the right of retention may not be possible, but the convention might at least indicate what law should apply to the right of retention, having regard to the fact that the building and repair of a vessel always take place within the jurisdiction of a given State and without any international implications. It would thus be possible simply to state that the right of retention or lien of the shipbuilder or ship repairer are governed by the law of the State where the building or repair of the vessel took place and that this State's law governs the conditions and effects of this right and is not restricted by the rules of the convention. It should be accepted that the repairer of a vessel, by providing materials and labour, creates added value for the vessel and thus for the security of the creditors, who should have a share in it only if the repairer is fully compensated. Draft article 6 bis does not take this economic fact into account.

40. The foregoing comment concerning the basis and the prescription period of the maritime liens referred to in the Convention should also apply to the other (so-called "national") liens referred to in draft article 6. Although these national liens, which should only be maritime liens and not other liens under normal law, rank below mortgages, the disadvantage will continue to exist for any shipowner and his creditors that they will not know what liens may attach to a vessel sailing in the waters of different States which may

allow a multiplicity of possible liens. The rules of private international law vary from State to State and with the practice of the courts concerned. Some States regard a maritime lien as a procedural rule, whereas others regard it as a substantive right and, depending on their approach, they apply a particular type of law. Even if a national lien is ranked after the mortgages, it may give rise to the vessel's arrest in any jurisdiction and oblige the shipowner or his mortgagee to intervene to ensure that the value of the vessel is not affected according to the place of arrest, particularly if there is no market for the vessel locally. It would therefore be in the interest of every shipowner and of the mortgagees to be able to foresee as accurately as possible the risk of any liens existing, including even national liens. One possibility would be to restrict recognition of national liens in another State, for example by means of a rule to be included in a second paragraph of article 6:

"Such liens shall be recognized in another State Party in which seizure of the vessel, leading to its forced sale, is ordered only if they are also provided for in the legislation of the State of registry of the vessel."

41. Draft article 4 contains a list of the maritime liens "on vessels" whose inherent characteristics are specified in article 7 only as regards the right to trace or, in other words, the characteristic of right in rem. However, nothing is said regarding the basis of maritime liens, whether those referred to in draft article 4 or those allowed by the national law (article 6), and nothing is said about the applicable law.

42. Some legislations provide for statutory subrogation in rem of the claimant in four cases:

(a) In the case of material damage to the vessel (for example in a collision) the compensation payable to the owner is subrogated to the vessel;

(b) In the case of general average, the sums payable to the owner are subrogated to the vessel;

(c) In the case of salvage by the mortgaged vessel the latter may suffer damage. Here the compensation which its owner receives will take account of the resultant damage and the compensation will then be subrogated to the loss or damage to the mortgaged salvaging vessel;

(d) In the case of insurance, the compensation paid by the insurer in respect of the hull will be subrogated to the vessel. Fortunately, however, this fourth subrogation was explicitly excluded by article 4 of the 1926 Convention and counts only in favour of the mortgagees, because hull insurance compensation is the mortgagee's only security in case of loss of the vessel.

43. The present draft articles are silent on these matters. This is a serious omission because, in the absence of international rules, each national legislation will be able to determine the basis of mortgages and liens, including in the cases of statutory subrogation. It is therefore absolutely essential that the new convention specify the base at least of maritime liens

(both international and national), as well as the cases of statutory subrogation in rem, along the lines of the 1926 Convention, for example by adding a second paragraph to article 7 reading:

"Claimants holding liens may not be subrogated in the compensation payable to the owner of the vessel under an insurance contract".

44. With regard to the extinction of maritime liens by lapse of time as provided for in draft article 8, it will be necessary to consider whether, apart from arrest, only seizure (in this case of the legal security offered by the lien) leading to a forced sale is sufficient to maintain the validity of the lien. If a lien holder asserts his claim before the courts, either through the procedure of realizing the security which the lien confers upon him, by applying for the opening of bankruptcy proceedings or by a request in bankruptcy proceedings for ranking as the holder of a lien, his claim should not be extinguished if, during the proceedings, the owner-debtor settles the amount payable and the forced sale becomes unnecessary. Nor is it always the lien holder who requests the seizure; it may be any other claimant. Where this is the case, the lien holder should not himself be obliged, in order to observe the prescribed period, also to request the arrest of the vessel and to proceed as far as a forced sale. The words "such arrest or seizure leading to a forced sale" should therefore be deleted or replaced by the following:

"... if the holder of the lien has not taken legal action in support of his claim".

45. The provisions of draft article 11 on the effects of forced sale give rise to serious concern:

(a) It is not sufficient for the State to "ensure" that any proceeds of a forced sale are actually available and freely transferable for the mortgages to be said to cease to attach to the vessel. This should be made a specific requirement and included as a subparagraph (c) in paragraph 1 of article 11. The argument that such a requirement would no longer allow a mortgagee to become the purchaser of the vessel by setting his claim against the purchase price is not valid. This amounts to availability and transferability. In order to avoid any possible doubt, the text should be made clearer. In any event, the requirement of transferability and availability of the sale proceeds should benefit the mortgagees in declaring the extinction of their right.

(b) The certification referred to in article 11, paragraph 3, should take account of the above-mentioned requirement.

The requirement that the registrar delete all registered mortgages from the register merely upon production of certification by the competent authority of the State where the forced sale takes place, without any enforcement proceedings, goes too far and is not consistent with international practice with regard to recognition of judicial acts of one State in another sovereign State. The forced sale of a vessel does not take place only upon application by a mortgagee or the holder of a lien for the purpose of enforcement of a claim in civil law. It is also carried out in order to satisfy claims in public law (fines, customs dues, etc.). The conventions on recognition and

enforcement of foreign judgements and arbitral awards contain safeguard clauses and, in particular, a reservation in respect of public policy. The draft convention contains no such safeguards. It should be noted that under the law of several countries a mortgage is valid, even between the parties, only if it is entered in the register, and it loses its effect upon its deletion from the register. For these reasons, the second sentence in paragraph 3 of article 11 is unacceptable. The convention should at least contain a clause enabling each State to declare that it does not accept this rule or that it will apply it only if it conforms to its public policy requirements.

THAILAND

[Original: ENGLISH]

46. In general the text of the draft convention on maritime liens and mortgages prepared by the Joint Intergovernmental Group of Experts is acceptable, except article 4, article 6 bis, and article 8, which should be amended as follows:

Article 4: Maritime liens

47. Subparagraph (d) of paragraph 1, which reads "(d) claims for port, canal, and other waterway dues and pilotage dues;" should be deleted entirely. Claims ranking before registered mortgages, "hypothèques" or charges should be as limited as possible in order to safeguard the loan money of the mortgagee extended to the shipowner. It is understood that this draft convention is aiming at unification of private maritime law as well as to encourage sources of capital for ship financing in developed countries to extend more loans to shipowners in developing countries. For the latter purpose of the draft convention, claims which rank before registered mortgages should be limited to only those arising out of damages done by and "extremely necessary" services rendered to the ship.

Article 6 bis: Rights of retention

48. Granting a right of retention to shipbuilder and ship repairer is not uncommon in the national law of many countries including Thailand. Such right of retention is acceptable in so far as it does not prejudice the rights of the mortgagee. So, neither claims for shipbuilding nor claims for repair should rank before registered mortgages, "hypothèques", or charges. Whether shipbuilder or ship repairer, having exercised his rights of retention or not, his claims should never rank before registered mortgages, "hypothèques" or charges. Since a shipbuilding contract is not a maritime contract, therefore claims for shipbuilding are not "maritime claims". That is why such claims should not be in a position equivalent to maritime liens, i.e., to rank before registered mortgages, "hypothèques", or charges. Regarding a claim for repair which is "a maritime claim" but an unregistered one, it is acceptable to treat it as "other liens" under article 6 which shall rank after registered mortgages, "hypothèques" or charges.

Article 8: Extinction of maritime liens

49. There should be additional provisions to the effect that each State Party may provide in its national law to allow the purchaser of a vessel to get rid of maritime liens previously attached to the vessel by taking certain measures or steps specified in law. For example, a State Party may provide in its national law that:

(a) A purchaser of a vessel who wishes to free the vessel from existing maritime liens shall provide notice by publication to all holders of the maritime liens on the vessel to lodge their claims within a given period of time.

(b) Unless holders of maritime liens have lodged their claims within the given period of time under (a), all maritime liens attached to the vessel before transfer of ownership shall be extinguished.

50. These measures, if allowed, will be helpful to the purchaser of a secondhand vessel in ensuring that he will not become a "victim" of the "secret" nature of maritime liens.

Intergovernmental organizations

FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS (FAO)

[Original: ENGLISH]

51. The Food and Agriculture Organization finds the proposals for a Convention on Maritime Liens and Mortgages to be both pertinent and timely.

52. With regard to the draft articles of a convention, it is the opinion of this organization that in the case of the forced sale of a ship, article 10, no pertinent information should be withheld from the persons entitled to notice.

53. Furthermore, with respect to article 15, the organization supports the view that the convention should not permit dual nationality.

Non-governmental international organizations

INTERNATIONAL CONFEDERATION OF FREE TRADE UNIONS

Article 1

54. There is no definition of "State Parties" anywhere in the draft convention. Since this is a defined term, the definition should be stated. Consequently "State Parties" should be defined.

Article 3

55. It is not clear from the language used in paragraph 1 whether it is designed to protect mortgages, etc, in the event of transfer of registration to a State not party to the convention.

Article 4

56. The opening of article 4 paragraph 1 should be amended as follows:

Add the words "or person in possession or control of the vessel";

Replace "be secured by" with "give rise to";

Since maritime liens can be enforced against the vessel in question or a sister ship, article 4 paragraph 1 as currently worded does not allow for the arrest of a sister ship. The paragraph should state for example that the claims give rise to a maritime lien on the vessel in question or any other vessel which is beneficially owned as respects all of the shares by the person who would be liable on the claim in an action in personam;

We would suggest that in article 4 paragraph 1 (a) line 3, the following words should be added after "including" "but without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing";

The word "direct" should be deleted from article 4 paragraph 1 (b) (second line);

We are not sure what article 4 paragraph 1 (e) seeks to refer to. If it is referring to a claim in tort for personal injury, then it surely should be linked to (b) of the same paragraph;

For the purpose of article 4 "members of the vessel's complement" should also include catering concessionaire crews.

Article 5

57. The wording of article 5 paragraph 2 should be clearer. What the paragraph appears to be saying is that salvage claims are to have priority over all other liens accruing before the salvage claim but not claims accruing after the salvage claim (including claims for wages or personal injury or loss of life which accrue after salvage). In any case, seafarers' claims should have priority at all times;

The opening words of article 5 paragraph 3 should be "The maritime liens within each of ...").

Article 8

58. The time scale in article 8 paragraph 1 for the maritime lien to be extinguished should be at the expiry of the limitation period of the claimant's right of action or at the very earliest after three years from the time the right of action accrues;

The wording in article 8 paragraph 1 (second line) "... unless, prior ... forced sale" should be extinguished. Alternatively, the restriction should be that the claimant must have started his claim within the defined period.

Article 9

59. The words "secured by" in the first line should be replaced with "giving rise to" (see our comments on article 4 above).

Article 10

60. The notice requirements prior to the forced sale of a vessel should be less stringent.

Article 11

61. In line 3 of paragraph 2, insert the following words before vessel "and the crew".

The words "and of all liens and other encumbrances" should be deleted from line 5 of paragraph 3.

Article 15

62. We would suggest that in paragraph (d), second line, a full stop should be put after "another State" and the remainder of the paragraph should be deleted.

INTERNATIONAL SHIP SUPPLIERS ASSOCIATION

[Original: ENGLISH]

63. In the final report of the Joint Group of Experts, document JIGE(VI)8, page 2, states that "detailed consideration has been given to a number of proposals put forward by governmental and non-governmental delegations with the object of producing a draft that would receive wide acceptance by governments and the shipping community".

64. It is, however, noted with regret that in the three years of the Committee's work none of our submissions found acceptance, although they were strongly supported by delegations of many important maritime countries and despite the fact that our category is one of the most important in the shipping community.

65. We believe, in view of the importance the Committee of Experts of the Diplomatic Conference attached to the acceptance of their proposals by Governments and the shipping community, that they must now be subject to reconsideration. In particular, the consequences of cancelling the ship supplier's right to maritime lien must be properly assessed and his right to this warranty reinstated. The right of ship suppliers to maritime lien is embodied in the Convention of 1926, which is still in force today in many maritime States and the Convention of 1967 would probably also have maintained this right, if the ship suppliers' claims had been considered on their own merits, in the light of the special considerations in clause 5 of article 2, apart from other operative claims. These considerations arise not only from the way in which the services are performed, but also from the nature of the credit itself, which relates in a large part to the provision of victuals, and

other "necessaries" for the crew, and to supplies for the upkeep of the ship. The deletion of the right to maritime lien, along with other operative claims, is the main reason that the Convention of 1967 remains unratified by Governments, is unsatisfactory to the shipping industry and is in practical terms defunct.

66. A right of maritime lien for the supplier of victuals, and the materials required for ship upkeep, is not only in the interests of ship suppliers but also in the interests of all categories involved in ship operations, for whom a rapid turn-round in harbour is essential. The alternative to such a warranty, pre-payment, as suggested by some members of the Committee of Experts, would not only deprive shipowners of a significant credit line, if the total sum extended were considered in its globality, but could also lead to significant delays in sailing times where remittances were delayed for one reason or another.

67. In addition, the difficulties created by the loss of the right to maritime lien are compounded by the modern trend for ships to be managed by management companies with the vessels chartered or leased to them, making identification of the legal owner more and more difficult. The logical outcome can only be that suppliers will restrict credit to those shipowners known to make timely payments and this would adversely affect small, new and unknown shipping companies, particularly those belonging to developing countries to whom the Conference gives, apparently, such importance.

68. The arguments above, repeated many times in the long debates in the sessions between 1986 and 1989, are the basis of our contention that the right to maritime lien for the ship supplier is in the general interests of the maritime industry. The protection offered by maritime lien is in practice a psychological one, used more as a deterrent than in its actuality and needed to allow the ship supplier to grant immediate credit to ships, often of unknown ownership. Such a right does not affect the mortgagees, since although it has a higher order of ranking, it is invariably a very small proportion of the total amount claimed, particularly in relation to that claimed by the creditors' banks.

69. In addition to the political arguments in support of our case, there are also judicial ones which are even stronger and need to be considered. This is best done by dividing ship supplies into two basic categories:

1. Supply of victuals and "necessaries" in support of the crew.
2. Supply of stores, spare parts, etc, required for the building, repair and, more particularly, the upkeep of the ship.

70. So far as victualling is concerned, there can be no doubt that it is essential to the survival of the crew and that it is made in fulfilment of a specific obligation of the shipowner to the crew embarked, based on legal and contractual requirements.

71. It is a classical example of the case of an obligation fulfilled by a third party on behalf of a debtor and merits the same treatment as that given to the crew's social security payments.

72. This similarity is even more apparent where the shipowner contracts, on a daily rate basis, a supplier (who becomes the caterer) to provide the services to cook and serve meals, thereby replacing the owner in his obligation to feed the crew, a requirement that is often established in many countries by law in detailed schedules.

73. As further proof that it is an owner's obligation, made by a third party, the supplier, towards the crew, is the fact that in many ships the shipowner allocates to the Master, or other nominated officer, a fixed daily rate for the purchase of victuals to feed the crew. This obligation exists not only as a general requirement but also as a specific one and this is proved by the fact that legislation on the form of contracts gives priority to such matters even over wages.

74. Finally, the International Labour Organisation in Article 8 of its Convention 109 (revised 1958) states clearly that "feeding, or sums needed for it, are to be considered as part of the wages of seamen". This clearly establishes, on an international basis, that the obligation to feed crews is related to wages and establishes the principle that food and other needs in the life of a seaman are more important than their wages and must be granted to them as a right.

75. If, as we argue, the food supplied to crew members forms an integral part of their wages, the nature of the relationship between the shipowner and the supplier (or caterer) is the same as that of the provider of social insurance. It is the fulfilment, by a third party, of a shipowner's specific obligation to supply to his crew the wages due to them, directly or indirectly.

76. It appeared, however, that in their deliberations the Committee of Experts sought to ensure that protection was only given to the social welfare of the seamen and not to protect the interests of the ship supplier. The answer to this differentiation between the activity of the insurance company and the ship supplier is that it is unfair, since both give a service. The ship supplier covers the daily feeding arrangements of the crew, a service normally paid for after its supply, whereas conversely the social security payments cover a long-term future commitment, paid for in advance.

77. In the event of non-payment the insurance company obtains redress through a withdrawal of its services, whereas the ship supplier can obtain no such redress, other than to refuse to supply the ship. In this eventuality, the welfare of the crew will be put in jeopardy for the sole reason that the ship supplier lacks any protection for the credit he is required to give. It is against this eventuality that maritime lien has always surely been granted.

78. The right of lien on the ship is granted to protect these essential services because of the importance of protecting the welfare of the crew and should also include, as well as the wages and social security payments currently included in the new draft proposals, the cost of feeding.

79. We therefore believe that we are justified in recommending to distinguished delegates in the strongest possible manner that article 4 should be amended to include at the end of the article the words "and any sums due for their feeding and life on board".

80. In relation to supplies for the upkeep of the ship, we would draw attention to the right of retention, granted in article 6 (bis) to the shipbuilder and ship repairer. The "ratio legis" accepted by all members of the Joint Committee of Experts has been that the work of the shipbuilder and ship repairer maintains the value of the ship and therefore it is in the interests of other creditors to grant this protection. In equity, this same argument must also apply to ship stores and spare parts supplied for the maintenance and repair of the ship which should logically enjoy the same right of protection.

81. The need for this protection is well demonstrated where supplies are ordered by shipowners, or their agents, for the use of the shipyard but delivered directly to the vessel and not to the shipyard where the vessel is building or undergoing repairs, a practice that is current and used to save the shipowner shipyard handling charges.

82. The drawing of a distinction, however, between the shipyard and the supplier activity is both anomalous, and unfair, and not soundly based in law. We believe that parity should be established between the shipyard/ship repairer and the supplier of goods in support of their activity through the introduction of an additional phrase to paragraph 3 of article 11, as suggested by the chairman of the committee, where it is stated that "The shipbuilder and ship repairer is entitled to obtain satisfaction of his claim out of the proceeds of sale, after the satisfaction of the claim of the holder of maritime liens mentioned in article 4", by the addition of the words "the same right is granted to the suppliers of materials supplied for the building, repair and maintenance of the ship".

83. In the light of the above arguments, we are confident that the Diplomatic Conference will reconsider the position of the ship supplier, who is responsible for providing on a daily basis, 364 days per year, the provisions and stores needed to maintain the ship in an operational state, a service that is vital to the maintenance of the programmes of the many thousands of ships that enter and leave harbour daily.
